WO

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Evan McCarrick Jerald,

Plaintiff,

v.

Christopher Nanos, et al.,

Defendants.

No. CV-24-00363-TUC-CKJ

## SCHEDULING ORDER

Plaintiff is a pro se prisoner who has filed an action alleging civil rights violations. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(B)(iv) (exempting from Rule 26 initial disclosure requirements actions brought without an attorney by a person in custody); LRCiv. 16.2(b)(2)(B) (setting case management deadlines for cases designated on the detainee track). The following Scheduling Order, entered pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 16, shall govern the course of this action:

**IT IS ORDERED** that the parties abide by the following case management schedule:

A. Any motion for summary judgment regarding exhaustion of administrative remedies shall be filed within 30 days of the filing date of this Order. Albino v. Baca, 747 F.3d 1162 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2014). If such a motion is filed by that date, discovery is stayed, and the deadlines set in this scheduling order are extended to commence upon disposition

1 2

2

4 5

6 | 7 |

9 10

8

1112

14 15

13

17 18

16

2021

19

2324

22

25

2627

28

of the exhaustion question. Alternatively, if no such motion is filed by that date, the deadlines commence immediately.

In the event a Motion for Summary Judgment regarding exhaustion is filed, it is the responsibility of the parties to track the alternative case management deadlines continued, herein.

- **B.** Joinder of additional parties or amendment of the pleadings shall be requested by (45 days from the date of this Order) **April 28, 2025, or 30 days from disposition of any dispositive motion based on exhaustion**.
- **C.** If they choose to counsel for Defendants shall depose Plaintiff, at Defendants' expense, on or before 30 days from joinder of parties.
- **D.** Parties shall disclose a witness list on or before <u>60 days from joinder of parties</u>. On or before that date, the parties are directed to exchange their complete list of witnesses.
- **E.** All discovery, including depositions of parties (other than the plaintiff), witnesses and experts shall be completed by <u>90 days from joinder of parties</u>. No discovery shall take place after that date without leave of Court upon good cause shown.
- **F.** Dispositive motions shall be filed on or before 120 days from joinder of parties.
- 1. Pursuant to LRCiv 7.2 and LRCiv 56.1, parties may file a motion, response, and reply. No additional briefing on a motion is allowed unless leave of Court is granted. Failure to file a response may be deemed a consent to a granting of the motion. A response must be served and filed within fourteen days of service on the motion; the moving party has seven days from service to serve and file a reply. LRCiv 7.2. Rule 56 motions for summary judgment and Rule 12(b)(1) motions to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction provide an exception to the above time limits: the time for a response is 30 days and the time for a reply is 15 days. LRCiv 56.1. Additional time may be permitted for service. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d). Unless otherwise permitted by the Court, a motion or response, inclusive of supporting memorandum but exclusive of attachments and statement of facts, shall not exceed 17 pages; a reply shall not exceed 11 pages. LRCiv 7.2.
  - 2. Any pleading which is submitted with more than one exhibit must be

- accompanied by a Table of Contents. The exhibits must be indexed with tabs that correspond to the Table of Contents. Absent exigent circumstances, the Court will not consider pleadings which do not conform to these requirements.
- **G.** The parties are directed to submit separate Settlement Status Reports regarding the status of any settlement discussions by <u>close of discovery</u>. The reports shall contain no specific terms of settlement proposals. The reports shall be brief and shall not include any settlement details (i.e., one—two sentences).
- **H.** The Joint Proposed Pretrial Order shall be filed within thirty (30) days after resolution of the dispositive motions filed after the end of discovery. If no such motions are filed, a Joint Proposed Pretrial Order will be due within 30 days of the close of discovery. The content of the proposed pretrial order shall include, but not be limited to, that prescribed in the **Form of Pretrial Order attached hereto**.
- 1. Pursuant to Federal Rule 37(c), the Court will not allow the parties to offer any exhibits, witnesses or other information that were not previously disclosed in accordance with the provisions of this Order and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or not listed in the Proposed Pretrial Order, except for good cause.
  - 2. A jury demand has been made.
- 3. The pretrial conference will be set upon receipt of the Joint Pretrial Order/Statement. The parties responsible for trial of the lawsuit shall appear and participate in the pretrial conference. At the pretrial conference, the Court will set the deadlines for filing and disposing of the following matters: proposed *voir dire*, jury instructions, trial memorandum, deposition testimony to be used at trial, and motions *in limine*.
- I. Motions for extensions of any of the deadlines set forth above shall be governed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, LRCiv 7.1, and LRCiv 7.3. A motion for continuance shall be filed prior to the expiration of the deadline. The schedule set forth in this Order may only be modified with leave of Court and upon a showing of good cause. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b); *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreation, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604 (9th Cir. 1992) (requiring a showing of good cause under Rule 16 to amend complaint beyond scheduling order deadline).

2 3 4

1

5

6

7 8 9

11 12

10

14

15

13

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Additionally, any motion for continuance of a discovery deadline, including a stipulation, shall set forth specifically what discovery has been conducted to date, the discovery to be completed, and the reasons why discovery has not been completed within the deadline.

This Order contemplates that each party will conduct discovery in such a manner to complete, within the deadline, any and all discovery. "Last minute or eleventh hour" discovery which results in insufficient time to undertake additional discovery, and which requires an extension of the discovery deadline will be met with disfavor, and could result in denial of an extension, exclusion of evidence, or the imposition of other sanctions.

The parties should note that willful failure to comply with any of the terms of this Order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or other applicable rules may result in dismissal of this action without further notice to Plaintiff, or sanctions upon Defendants. Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258 (9th Cir. 1992). Plaintiff is cautioned to comply with all applicable rules of civil procedure; his pro se status will not excuse noncompliance. King v. Atiyeh, 814 F.2d 565 (9th Cir. 1987).

Dated this 11th day of March, 2025.

Honorable Cindy K. Jorgenso United States District Judge

	Case	4:24-cv-00363-CKJ	Document 44	Filed 03/12/25	Page 5 of 7
1					
2					
3					
4					
5		INI TIHI		TEC DICTRICT C	OUDT
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA				
8		, Dlaintiff	)		
9	***	Plaintiff,	)	No. CIV -	TUC-CKJ
10	vs.		)		CPARATE/JOINT ATEMENT/ORDER
12		, Defendant.		TRETRIAL ST	ATEMENT/ORDER
13		Defendant.			
14		Pursuant to the Scheo	duling Order ente	ered	, 20 , following
14 15	is the				, 20, following at the pretrial conference.
	is the		l Statement/Ord		, 20, following l at the pretrial conference.
15		Proposed Final Pretria	l Statement/Ord		_
15 16		Proposed Final Pretria	l Statement/Ord		_
15 16 17		Proposed Final Pretria <b>COUNSEL FOR TH</b> Plaintiff(s):	al Statement/Orden	er to be considered	_
15 16 17 18	A.	Proposed Final Pretria  COUNSEL FOR THE  Plaintiff(s):  Defendant(s):  STATEMENT OF J	al Statement/Order HE PARTIES URISDICTION	er to be considered	_
15 16 17 18 19	A.	Proposed Final Pretria  COUNSEL FOR THE  Plaintiff(s):  Defendant(s):  STATEMENT OF J	al Statement/Order HE PARTIES URISDICTION	er to be considered	at the pretrial conference.
15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	Proposed Final Pretriated COUNSEL FOR THE Plaintiff(s): Defendant(s): STATEMENT OF J. Cite the statute(s) that disputed.	al Statement/Order HE PARTIES  JURISDICTION  at gives the Cour	er to be considered  N  t jurisdiction, and	at the pretrial conference.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	Proposed Final Pretriated COUNSEL FOR THE Plaintiff(s): Defendant(s): STATEMENT OF J. Cite the statute(s) that disputed.	Il Statement/Order HE PARTIES  URISDICTION It gives the Court this case is base	er to be considered  N  t jurisdiction, and vector of continuous c	at the pretrial conference.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A.	Proposed Final Pretriated COUNSEL FOR THE Plaintiff(s): Defendant(s): STATEMENT OF J. Cite the statute(s) that disputed. (E.g.: Jurisdiction in	I Statement/Order HE PARTIES  URISDICTION It gives the Court this case is base diction is (not) desired.	er to be considered  N  t jurisdiction, and vector of continuous c	at the pretrial conference.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. B.	Proposed Final Pretriated COUNSEL FOR THE Plaintiff(s): Defendant(s): STATEMENT OF J. Cite the statute(s) that disputed. (E.g.: Jurisdiction in U.S.C. § 1332. Jurisdiction in U.S.C. § 13	I Statement/Order HE PARTIES  URISDICTION It gives the Court this case is base diction is (not) design.	N  t jurisdiction, and ved on diversity of consistency.	at the pretrial conference.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. B.	Proposed Final Pretriated COUNSEL FOR THE Plaintiff(s): Defendant(s): STATEMENT OF J. Cite the statute(s) that disputed. (E.g.: Jurisdiction in U.S.C. § 1332. Jurisdiction in U.S.C. § 13	I Statement/Order HE PARTIES  URISDICTION It gives the Court this case is base diction is (not) design.	N  t jurisdiction, and ved on diversity of consistency.	at the pretrial conference.  whether jurisdiction is  itizenship under Title 28
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A. B.	Proposed Final Pretriated COUNSEL FOR THE Plaintiff(s): Defendant(s): STATEMENT OF J. Cite the statute(s) that disputed. (E.g.: Jurisdiction in U.S.C. § 1332. Jurisdiction in U.S.C. § 13	I Statement/Order HE PARTIES  URISDICTION  this case is base diction is (not) desired.	N  t jurisdiction, and ved on diversity of coisputed.)	at the pretrial conference.  whether jurisdiction is  itizenship under Title 28
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	A. B.	Proposed Final Pretriate  COUNSEL FOR THE  Plaintiff(s):  Defendant(s):  STATEMENT OF J.  Cite the statute(s) that disputed.  (E.g.: Jurisdiction in U.S.C. § 1332. Jurisdiction in U.S.C.	I Statement/Order HE PARTIES  JURISDICTION It gives the Court this case is base diction is (not) desired to the type acts liability case	N  t jurisdiction, and ved on diversity of consistency.  the end of case, the cause wherein the plain	at the pretrial conference.  whether jurisdiction is  itizenship under Title 28  se of the action, and the

plaintiff contends that the forklift was defectively designed and manufactured by the defendant and that the defects were a producing cause of his injuries and damages.)

## D. CONTENTIONS OF THE PARTIES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

With respect to each count of the complaint, counterclaim or cross-claim, and to any defense, affirmative defense, or the rebuttal of a presumption where the burden of proof has shifted, the party having the burden of proof shall list the elements or standards that must be proved in order for the party to prevail on that claim or defense.

(E.g.: In order to prevail on this products liability case, the plaintiff must prove the following elements . . . )

(E.g.: In order to defeat this products liability claim based on the statute of repose, the defendant must prove the following elements . . . )

## E. STIPULATIONS AND UNCONTESTED FACTS

## F. CONTESTED ISSUES OF FACT AND LAW

## G. LISTS OF WITNESSES

A jointly prepared list of witnesses, identifying each as either plaintiff's or defendant's and indicating whether a fact or expert witness, must accompany this proposed order.

A brief statement as to the testimony of each expert witness must be included.

## H. LIST OF EXHIBITS

Each party must submit with this proposed order a list of numbered exhibits, with a description of each containing sufficient information to identify the exhibit, and indicating whether an objection to its admission is anticipated.

Exhibits should be marked according to instructions received from the court.

## I. MOTIONS IN LIMINE

Motions in limine shall be filed and served upon each party with this proposed order. Any opposition shall be filed and served within fourteen (14) days.

Document 44

Filed 03/12/25

Page 7 of 7

Case 4:24-cv-00363-CKJ

28